Website: http://_

Evaluation Techniques	0	1	2	3
Is there a copyright or last updated date on the page? Are links broken (404 error)? Is it current enough for your topic?	There is no indication of when the site was created or revised.	The date given for the site's creation is over 3 years old; no date of revision or update.	The site has been created or updated within the last 3 years.	Created or updated less than two years. Sources referenced are current.
Does the creator provide links to sources for data or quotations? Do those sources seem reliable? Is the information accurate and error-free? Can the information be corroborated with another source?	No links to sources or works cited is given.	There is a general statement about the source of the information, but no specific links to sources or a works cited list.	There are links to sources or a works cited list.	There are links to sources or a works cited list. Information is corroborated with another source.
Is the author's name listed? Google the authors' names to learn more. Can you figure out what makes the author an expert (credentials, known and respected)?	The author is probably a student. Errors indicate the author is not an expert.	The author is unnamed and/or no credentials are given.	The author is named but credentials are incomplete.	Credentials are given and indicate that author is an expert.
Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? What is the domain (educom.orgnet, uk, k12,etc)? Is the page hosted by an individual? (look for any of the following in the URL: %, ~ "users", "AOL", or "yshoo".) Search who owns the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.domaintools.com . Who else links to the site? Google command: "link:mchs.net"	An individual's page hosted by an Internet service provider (Comcast, yahoo, AOL, etc) or a .com site with no affiliation	Any of these: - The home page is a K12, com, org, or unknown, and NOT included in level 2 or 3. - The home page is a student folder on a edu site.	A known business, government department or agency is the home page.	A professional association or organization, museum, a universi sponsored home page or a domain o .edu and faculty maintain the site.
Scan the contents. Why was it written? (ex. information, advice, advocacy, propaganda, opinion, entertainment, commercial site, personal, news) Be able to spot point of view. Is the site fair and objective? Is it an advocacy site? Is the page affiliated with an organization that has a particular political or social agenda? Is there a conflict of interest? Does the web site producer stand to benefit from the information being provided? How important is blance? Is it required for your purposes? Is any bias explicit or hidden? Can you use the information?	The purpose is personal or too much advertising is distracting.	Purpose is to sell, persuade, or give a biased view. Some factual info. or useful pictures but focus is to promote something.	Offers some factual information. Sides unbalanced; some bias or advertising.	The purpose is to support scholarly research with factua information. Balanced coverage/without bias.
	Is there a copyright or last updated date on the page? Are links broken (404 error)? Is it current enough for your topic? Does the creator provide links to sources for data or quotations? Do those sources seem reliable? Is the information accurate and error-free? Is the information accurate and error-free? Can the information be corroborated with another source? Is the author's name listed? Google the authors' names to learn more. Can you figure out what makes the author an expert (credentials, known and respected)? Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? What is the domain (edu, com, org., net, uk, k12, etc)? Is the page hosted by an individual? (look for any of the following in the URL: %, ~, "users", "AOL", or "yshoo".) Search who owns the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.domaintools.com . Who else links to the site? Google command: "link:mchs.net" Scan the contents. Why was it written? (ex. information, advice, advocacy, propaganda, opinion, entertainment, commercial site, personal, news) Be able to spot point of view. Is the site fair and objective? Is it an advocacy site? Is the page affiliated with an organization that has a particular political or social agenda? Is there a conflict of interest? Does the web site producer stand to benefit from the information being provided?	Is there a copyright or last updated date on the page? Does the creator provide links to sources for data or quotations? Do those sources seem reliable? Is the author's name listed? Is the author's name listed? Is the information accurate and error-free? Is the author's name listed? Google the author's names to learn more. Can you figure out what makes the author an expert (credentials, known and respected)? Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? What is the domain (edu.com.org.net.uk.,k12,etc) is the page hosted by an individual? spage hosted by an individual? (look for any of the following in the URL: %, ~, "users", "AOL", or "yshoo".) Search who owns the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.domaintools.com. Who else links to the site? Google command: "link:mchs.net" Scan the contents. Why was it written? (ex. information, advice, advocacy, propaganda, opinion, entertainment, commercial site, personal, news) Be able to spot point of view. Is the site fair and objective? Is it an advocacy site? Is the page affiliated with an organization that has a particular political or social agenda? Is there a conflict of interest? Does the web site producer stand to benefit from the information being provided? How important is balance? Is it required for your purposes? Is any	Is there a copyright or last updated date on the page? Is the author's name listed? Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? Is search who wans the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.dom.eimtools.com. Search who wans the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.dom.eimtools.com. What is the contents. Why was it written? (ex. information, advice, advocacy, propaganda, opinion, entertainment, commercial site, personal, news) Search who continued the contents of the con	Is there a copyright for lest updated date on the page? Are links broken (404 error)? Is it current enough for your topic? Does the creator provide links to sources for data or quotations? Do those sources seem reliable? Is the information accurate and error-free? Can the information be corroborated with another source? Is the author's name listed? Is the new thors name listed? Is the author's name listed? Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? What is the domain (edu.com,org.net,uk,k12,eto?) is the page hosted by an individual (look for any of the following in the URL: %, ~, "users", "ACI", or "yshoo".) Search who was the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.domaintols.com. Search who was the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.domaintols.com. What is the contents. Why was it written? (ex. information, edvice, advocacy, propaganda, opinion, entertainment, commercial site, personal, news) Search who contile of interest? Does the web site producer stand to benefit from the information being provided? Is there a contilet of interest? Does the web site producer stand to benefit from the information being provided? The gate was created or revised. The life the site's receited or unded to the street or existed or update. The satch has been the site is a general statement about the sources or a works citled list. The author is named and/or no credentials are given. The author is named and/or no credentials are given. The author is named and or no expert (comeast, yahoo, AOL, etc) or a. com site with no affiliation or update. An individual's page hosted by an interest envice provider (Comeast, yahoo, AOL, etc) or a. com site with no affiliation or update. The home page is a structure of the stream of the sources or a works citled list. The author is the author is the sources or a works citled

12 to 15 pts Excellent source for research

8 to 11 pts Good source for a research paper or academic project. Confirm with other sources.

4 to 7 pts Useful for ideas or casual projects. Do not cite as a reference for a research paper or project

0 to 3 pts Highly questionable source

Website: http://_

Criteria	Evaluation Techniques	0	1	2	3
Currency When was the information written & last updated?	Is there a copyright or last updated date on the page? Are links broken (404 error)? Is it current enough for your topic?	There is no indication of when the site was created or revised.	The date given for the site's creation is over 3 years old; no date of revision or update.	The site has been created or updated within the last 3 years.	Created or updated less than two years. Sources referenced are current.
Reliability Where did the author get this information?	Does the creator provide links to sources for data or quotations? Do those sources seem reliable? Is the information accurate and error-free? Can the information be corroborated with another source?	No links to sources or works cited is given.	There is a general statement about the source of the information, but no specific links to sources or a works cited list.	There are links to sources or a works cited list.	There are links to sources or a works cited list. Information is corroborated with another source.
Authority of Author Who is responsible for the information?	Is the author's name listed? Google the authors' names to learn more. Can you figure out what makes the author an expert (credentials, known and respected)?	The author is probably a student. Errors indicate the author is not an expert.	The author is unnamed and/or no credentials are given.	The author is named but credentials are incomplete.	Credentials are given and indicate that author is an expert.
Authority of Organization Does the resource have a reputable organization behind it?	• Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? • What is the domain (edu_com_org_net_uk_,k12_etc)? Is the page hosted by an individual? (look for any of the following in the URL: %, ~, "users", "AOL", or "yahoo".) • Search who owns the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.domaintools.com . • Who else links to the site? Google command: "link:mchs.net"	An individual's page hosted by an Internet service provider (Comcast, yahoo, AOL, etc) or a .com site with no affiliation	Any of these: The home page is a K12, com, .org, or unknown, and NOT included in level 2 or 3. The home page is a student folder on a .edu site.	A known business, government department or agency is the home page.	A professional association or organization, museum, a university sponsored home page or a domain of .edu and faculty maintain the site.
Purpose/ Point of View: Does the information seem fairly represented, or is it biased?	Scan the contents. Why was it written? (ex. information, advice, advocacy, propaganda, opinion, entertainment, commercial site, personal, news) Be able to spot point of view. Is the site fair and objective? Is it an advocacy site? Is the page affiliated with an organization that has a particular political or social agenda? Is there a conflict of interest? Does the web site producer stand to benefit from the information being provided? How important is balance? Is it required for your purposes? Is any bias explicit or hidden? Can you use the information?	The purpose is personal or too much advertising is distracting.	Purpose is to sell, persuade, or give a biased view. Some factual info. or useful pictures but focus is to promote something.	Offers some factual information. Sides unbalanced; some bias or advertising.	The purpose is to support scholarly research with factual information. Balanced coverage/without bias.
	What does the score mean? 12 to 15 pts Excellent source for research 8 to 11 pts Good source for a research paper or academic project. Confirm with other sources. 4 to 7 pts Useful for ideas or casual projects. Do not cite as a reference for a research paper or project 0 to 3 pts Highly questionable source				

Explain your score for two other categories.
Explain your score the Purpose/Point of View category. Make sure you include your examination of bias and slanted language.
Explain your score for two other categories.

1. Explain your score the Purpose/Point of View

of bias and slanted language.

category. Make sure you include your examination

Website: http://

Criteria	Evaluation Techniques	0	1	2	3
Currency When was the information written & last updated?	Is there a copyright or last updated date on the page? Are links broken (404 error)? Is it current enough for your topic?	There is no indication of when the site was created or revised.	The date given for the site's creation is over 3 years old; no date of revision or update.	The site has been created or updated within the last 3 years.	Created or updated less than two years. Sources referenced are current.
Reliability Where did the author get this information?	Does the creator provide links to sources for data or quotations? Do those sources seem reliable? Is the information accurate and error-free? Can the information be corroborated with another source?	No links to sources or works cited is given.	There is a general statement about the source of the information, but no specific links to sources or a works cited list.	There are links to sources or a works cited list.	There are links to sources or a works cited list. Information is corroborated with another source.
Authority of Author Who is responsible for the information?	Is the author's name listed? Google the authors' names to learn more. Can you figure out what makes the author an expert (credentials, known and respected)?	The author is probably a student. Errors indicate the author is not an expert.	The author is unnamed and/or no credentials are given.	The author is named but credentials are incomplete.	Credentials are given and indicate that author is an expert.
Authority of Organization Does the resource have a reputable organization behind it?	• Is there a reputable organization behind it? What is the organization's interest (if any) in this information? • What is the domain (edu,com,org,net,uk,k12,et)? Is the page hosted by an individual? (look for any of the following in the URL: %, ~ "users", "AOL", or "yahoo".) • Search who owns the site using a "whois" search engine such as: http://whois.domaintoble.com . • Who else links to the site? Google command: "link:mchs.net"	An individual's page hosted by an Internet service provider (Comcast, yahoo, AOL, etc) or a .com site with no affiliation	Any of these: The home page is a K12, com, org, or unknown, and NOT included in level 2 or 3. The home page is a student folder on a ledu site.	A known business, government department or agency is the home page.	A professional association or organization, museum, a university sponsored home page or a domain of .edu and faculty maintain the site.
Purpose/ Point of View: Does the information seem fairly represented, or is it biased?	Scan the contents. Why was it written? (ex. information, advice, advocacy, propaganda, opinion, entertainment, commercial site, personal, news) Be able to spot point of view. Is the site fair and objective? Is it an advocacy site? Is the page affiliated with an organization that has a particular political or social agenda? Is there a conflict of interest? Does the web site producer stand to benefit from the information being provided? How important is balance? Is it required for your purposes? Is any bias explicit or hidden? Can you use the information?	The purpose is personal or too much advertising is distracting.	Purpose is to sell, persuade, or give a biased view. Some factual info. or useful pictures but focus is to promote something.	Offers some factual information. Sides unbalanced; some bias or advertising.	The purpose is to support scholarly research with factual information. Balanced coverage/without bias.
	What does the score mean? 12 to 15 pts Excellent source for research				
	8 to 11 pts Good source for a research paper or academic project. Confirm with other sources. 4 to 7 pts Useful for ideas or casual projects. Do not cite as a reference for a research paper or project 0 to 3 pts Highly questionable source				

- 1. Explain your score the Purpose/Point of View category. Make sure you include your examination of bias and slanted language.
- 2. Explain your score for two other categories.

Write a paragraph explaining which source would be more reliable to use as a resource. Provide three pieces of evidence with commentary for each to explain how you came to this conclusion.